UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,014	05/08/2006	Jesse J. Kuhns	END5188USPCT	5259
27777 PHILIP S. JOH	7590 06/27/201 <b>NSON</b>	EXAMINER		
JOHNSON & J	OHNSON N & JOHNSON PLAZ	DOWE, KATHERINE MARIE		
	VICK, NJ 08933-7003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2011	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jnjuspatent@corus.jnj.com lhowd@its.jnj.com gsanche@its.jnj.com

	1	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/579,014	KUHNS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	KATHERINE DOWE	3734
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ja</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 8-24 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 8-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	vn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/13/2010</u>.</li> </ol>	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	аіепі Арріісатіоп

Application/Control Number: 10/579,014 Page 2

Art Unit: 3734

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 15, 2010 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 8-24 are currently pending.

### Claim Objections

3. Claims 8-24 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 8-24 depend, directly or indirectly, from claim 4 which has been canceled. Appropriate correction is required. For examination purposes, claims that depend directly from claim 4 are being treated as depending from claim 1.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1, 9-12, and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ewerhardt et al. (US 1,950,788) in view of Pena (US 5,178,133). Regarding claim 1 and 9-11, Ewerhardt et al. disclose a diagnostic device for

Art Unit: 3734

Page 3

pathologies of naturally occurring tubular anatomical structures comprising: a tubular elongated structure (10) developing between a proximal end and a distal end and being adapted to be inserted in the tubular anatomical structure, means for locally dilating (12) the walls of the tubular anatomical structure being associated with the distal end of said elongated structure, said means for locally dilating comprising a plurality of petals (12). wherein each petal comprises an arm which can broaden into a curved surface which has an asymmetric conformation with respect to the respective arm (Figures 1 and 2), the petals being movable between a closed position (Fig. 1), wherein the petals overlap each other (Fig. 1), for the introduction of the device and at least one open position (Fig. 2) for the viewing and evaluation of the pathology, control means (21) being associated to the proximal end of the elongated structure, said control means being operatively connected to said means for locally dilating in order to move them between the closed position and the open position, and vice versa (col. 3, In. 47-51), said means for locally dilating having a rounded non-traumatic tip. The petals must transition through an intermediate position when moving from a fully closed configuration (Fig 1) to a fully opened configuration (Fig 2). Furthermore, the control means comprises a threaded mechanism that blocks the device from being moved to the open position until the handle is further manipulated (col 3, In. 1-14 and 35-40). However, Ewerhardt et al. fail to disclose a continuous membrane. Pena discloses a means for locally dilating (12) comprising a continuous transparent elastic membrane (34) externally covering dilating arms (12) for allowing the surgeon optimal viewing of the surgical field (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ewerhardt et al. to

petals are overlapping in the closed position.

Art Unit: 3734

include a continuous transparent elastic membrane externally covering the petals to evenly dilate the surrounding tissue in the surgical field as suggested by Pena.

Ewerhardt et al. in view of Pena disclose the membrane external to the petals when the

Regarding claim 12, Ewerhardt et al. disclose said elongated structure comprises an inner tube (21) and an outer tube (10) adapted to internally receive said inner tube, said inner tube and said outer tube being suitable to translate relatively to each other to open or close said petals (col. 3, In.15-19).

Regarding claim 20, Ewerhardt et al. disclose said petals (12) are formed as one piece with said outer tube (10; Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 21, Ewerhardt et al. disclose each petal (12) couples with a portion of said inner tube (21) forming a unidirectional guide adapted to close or open the petals subsequent to the translation of the inner tube relative to the outer tube and the petals (Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 22, Ewerhardt et al. disclose each petal (12) comprises a longitudinally extending rib (17) and wherein said inner tube (21) comprises a distal flange (15) provided with openings (16) adapted to couple with respective ribs (17) of said petals (col. 2, In. 105-120).

Regarding claim 23, Ewerhardt et al. fail to disclose wherein said rib (17) has a T-shaped cross-section and wherein said openings has a C-shaped cross-section suitable to couple with the cross-section of a respective rib. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to give the rib a T-shaped cross section and the

Art Unit: 3734

openings of the inner tube of the distal flange a C-shaped cross section, since applicant has not disclosed that the particular coupling solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the ribs and the openings having any shaped cross-sections which fit together.

Page 5

- 6. Claim 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ewerhardt et al. (US 1,950,788) in view of Pena (US 5,178,133) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Wallace (US 2,621,651). Ewerhardt et al. fail to disclose a means of viewing adapted to be associated with the elongated tubular structure and to reach the tract of the tubular anatomical structure. Wallace et al. disclose a dilating instrument locally dilating tubular anatomical structures, the dilator comprising an elongated means of viewing (40) associated with a hollow elongated tubular member for viewing the dilated area. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the dilation tool of Ewerhardt et al. to comprise a means of viewing associated with the hollow elongated tubular member as suggested by Wallace et al. to allow the surgeon to view the surgical site.
- 7. Claim 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ewerhardt et al. (US 1,950,788) in view of Pena (US 5,178,133) as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Bertolero et al. (US 2005/0159645).

Ewerhardt et al. fail to disclose a petal and outer surface of the outer tube comprise at least one detection element or marker. However, Bertolero et al. disclose a

detection element or marker on an outer sheath (paragraph 8) for determining the location in the body. It would have been obvious to provide a detection elements or radiopaque marker on the outer tube and/or on at least one petal to allow the location of the device in the body to be determined.

8. Claims 14-19 and 24, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ewerhardt et al. (US 1,950,788) in view of Pena (US 5,178,133) as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Sijp (DE 19828099 A1).

Regarding claims 14-16, Ewerhardt et al. in view of Pena fail to disclose an the inner tube has an annular groove adapted to receive and draw an end of said petals, said outer tube having openings to receive said petals, and said outer tube comprising a notch suitable to be inserted inside an aperture of a respective petal. Sijp discloses a device for dilating a tubular anatomical structure comprising an elongated structure, petals for locally dilating the walls of the anatomical structure, the elongated structure comprises an inner tube (10) and an outer tube (1) adapted to internally receive said inner tube, said inner tube and said outer tube being suitable to translate relatively to each other to open or close said petals (Fig. 4-5). Sijp discloses the inner tube (1) has an annular groove adapted to receive and draw an end of said petals (3; Fig. 3-5). Sijp discloses said outer tube (10) has openings to receive said petals (Fig. 3-5). Sijp discloses at an opening said outer tube (10) comprises a notch suitable to be inserted inside an aperture (4) of a respective petal (3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the elongated

Art Unit: 3734

tubular structure of Ewerhardt et al. with the elongated tubular structure of Sijp in order to achieve the same predictable result of opening and closing the petals through relative movement of the inner and outer tubes.

Regarding claims 17-19, Ewerhardt et al. in view of Pena fail to disclose said inner tube has a distal grooved length or a threaded length adapted to receive and draw an end of a petal comprising a toothed area, wherein at an aperture said outer tube has seats adapted to receive a pivot of a corresponding petal. Sijp discloses a device for dilating a tubular anatomical structure comprising an elongated structure, petals for locally dilating the walls of the anatomical structure, the elongated structure comprises an inner tube (10) and an outer tube (1) adapted to internally receive said inner tube, said inner tube and said outer tube being suitable to translate relatively to each other to open or close said petals (Fig. 4-5). Sijp discloses said inner tube (1) has a distal grooved length or a threaded length adapted to receive and draw an end of a petal (3) comprising a toothed area (8; Fig. 3). Sijp discloses said outer tube (218) has openings to receive said petals (Fig. 4-5). Sijp discloses wherein at an aperture said outer tube (218) has seats (4) adapted to receive a pivot (8) of a corresponding petal (3; Fig. 3-5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the elongated tubular structure of Ewerhardt et al. with the elongated tubular structure of Sijp in order to achieve the same predictable result of opening and closing the petals through relative movement of the inner and outer tubes.

Regarding claim 24, Ewerhardt et al. fail to disclose a holding body at the proximal end of the outer tube. Sijp discloses a device for dilating a tubular anatomical

Art Unit: 3734

structure comprising an elongated structure, petals for locally dilating the walls of the anatomical structure, the elongated structure comprises an inner tube (10) and an outer tube (1) adapted to internally receive said inner tube, said inner tube and said outer tube being suitable to translate relatively to each other to open or close said petals (Fig. 4-5). Sijp discloses a holding body (6) arranged at the proximal end of an outer tube and a holding body (6) arranged at the proximal end of said inner tube. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the elongated tubular structure of Ewerhardt et al. with the elongated tubular structure including the holding members of Sijp in order to achieve the same predictable result of opening and closing the petals through relative movement of the inner and outer tubes.

Page 8

## Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed January 15, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues Ewerhardt et al. and Pena fail to disclose the device has an intermediate position wherein the device is blocked from being moved to the open position until the handle is further manipulated. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The control means comprises a threaded engagement such that to expand or contract the petals, the shaft (21) must be rotated and it is clear the petals must transition through an intermediate position when changing from a fully collapsed configuration to a fully expanded configuration. The screw mechanism prevents the dilating means from changing the position of expansion until the handle is further manipulated (i.e. physically rotating the shaft 21). Furthermore, thumb nut (27) may

Application/Control Number: 10/579,014 Page 9

Art Unit: 3734

additionally lock the position of the handle and thus block the device from being moved to an open position from an intermediate position until the handle is further manipulated.

#### Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yoon (US 5,556,376) additionally teach a handle mechanism for retracing an outer sheath with respect to an inner sheath to allow expansion of a dilating mechanism, wherein the handle locking mechanism (42) comprises a plurality of locking teeth to lock the relative positions of the inner and outer sheaths at each incremental stage of expansion and thus the device may be blocked in an intermediate position until the handle is further manipulated.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KATHERINE DOWE whose telephone number is (571)272-3201. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jackson can be reached on (571) 272-4697. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,014 Page 10

Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Katherine M Dowe/ Examiner, Art Unit 3734

June 20, 2011